

.....  
(Original Signature of Member)

113TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

**H. R.** \_\_\_\_\_

To amend the National Labor Relations Act with respect to the criteria for determining employee units appropriate for the purposes of collective bargaining.

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. PRICE of Georgia introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
**A BILL**

To amend the National Labor Relations Act with respect to the criteria for determining employee units appropriate for the purposes of collective bargaining.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Representation Fair-  
5 ness Restoration Act”.

1 **SEC. 2. DETERMINATION OF APPROPRIATE UNITS FOR**  
2 **COLLECTIVE BARGAINING.**

3 Section 9(b) of the National Labor Relations Act (29  
4 U.S.C. 159(b)) is amended—

5 (1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through  
6 (3) as subparagraphs (A) through (C);

7 (2) by striking “The Board shall decide” and  
8 all that follows through “or subdivision thereof:”  
9 and inserting the following: “(1) In each case, prior  
10 to an election, the Board shall determine, in order  
11 to assure to employees the fullest freedom in exer-  
12 cising the rights guaranteed by this Act, the unit ap-  
13 propriate for the purposes of collective bargaining.  
14 Unless otherwise stated in this Act, and excluding  
15 any bargaining unit determination promulgated  
16 through rulemaking before August 26, 2011, the  
17 unit appropriate for purposes of collective bargaining  
18 shall consist of employees that share a sufficient  
19 community of interest. In determining whether em-  
20 ployees share a sufficient community of interest, the  
21 Board shall consider—

22 “(A) similarity of wages, benefits, and  
23 working conditions;

24 “(B) similarity of skills and training;

25 “(C) centrality of management and com-  
26 mon supervision;

1           “(D) extent of interchange and frequency  
2 of contact between employees;

3           “(E) integration of the work flow and  
4 interrelationship of the production process;

5           “(F) the consistency of the unit with the  
6 employer’s organizational structure;

7           “(G) similarity of job functions and work;  
8 and

9           “(H) the bargaining history in the par-  
10 ticular unit and the industry.

11 To avoid the proliferation or fragmentation of bar-  
12 gaining units, employees shall not be excluded from  
13 the unit unless the interests of the group seeking a  
14 separate unit are sufficiently distinct from those of  
15 other employees to warrant the establishment of a  
16 separate unit. Whether additional employees should  
17 be included in a proposed unit shall be determined  
18 based on whether such additional employees and  
19 proposed unit members share a sufficient community  
20 of interest, with the sole exception of proposed accre-  
21 tions to an existing unit, in which the inclusion of  
22 additional employees shall be based on whether such  
23 additional employees and existing unit members  
24 share an overwhelming community of interest and

1 the additional employees have little or no separate  
2 identity.”; and

3 (3) by striking “*Provided, That the Board*” and  
4 inserting the following:

5 “(2) The Board”.